Handling Non-Convexities: Entrepreneurship and Financial Frictions

Benjamin Moll

1 Model Setup

This note describes a model of entrepreneurship and financial frictions, similar to that in Buera and Shin (2013) and Cagetti and De Nardi (2006). In particular, occupational choice in combination with financial friction introduces a non-convexity in individuals optimization problems. We also introduce a second additional non-convexity, namely a choice between operating two technologies. Achdou et al. (2014) consider a version with aggregate shocks and examine its business cycle implications s(here we focus on the model with idiosyncratic shocks only).

• Individuals' preferences

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt$$

- Workers earn labor income wz^{θ} where $\theta \geq 0$
- Entrepreneurs choose technology, maximize profits
- Two technologies productive (p) and unproductive (u)

$$y_u = F_u(z,k,\ell) = zB_u k^\alpha \ell^\beta$$

$$y_p = F_p(z,k,\ell) = zB_p((k-f_k)^+)^{\alpha}((\ell-f_\ell)^+)^{\beta}$$

- $-B_p > B_u$, but per-period **overhead cost** f_k, f_ℓ
- Notation: for any scalar $x, x^+ = \max\{x, 0\}$
- F_p non-concave in k and ℓ
- -z: idiosyncratic shock, diffusion process
- Collateral constraints

$$k \le \lambda a, \quad \lambda \ge 1.$$

• Income maximization: occupation and technology choice

$$M(a, z, A; w, r) = \max\{wz^{\theta}, \Pi_u(a, z, A; w, r), \Pi_p(a, z, A; w, r)\}$$
$$\Pi_j(a, z, A; w, r) = \max_{k \le \lambda a} F_j(z, A, k, \ell) - (r + \delta)k - w\ell, \quad j = p, u.$$

• Individuals solve

$$\max_{\{c_t\}} \mathbb{E}_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt \quad \text{s.t.}$$
$$da_t = [M(a_t, z_t, A_t; w_t, r_t) + r_t a_t - c_t] dt$$
$$dz_t = \mu(z_t) dt + \sigma(z_t) dW_t$$
$$a_t \ge 0$$

• Optimal capital and labor choices corresponding to the productive technology

$$k_p(a, z; w, r) = \min\left\{\lambda a, (zAB_p)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha-\beta}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha-\beta}} \left(\frac{\beta}{w}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha-\beta}} + f_k\right\}$$
$$\ell_p(a, z; w, r) = \left(\frac{\beta zAB_p}{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} k_p(a, z; w, r)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}} + f_\ell$$

and a similar expression for optimal capital and labor choices corresponding to the unproductive technology.

• Representative firm

$$Y_c = F_c(A, K_c, L_c) = AB_c K_c^{\eta} L_c^{1-\eta},$$

2 Equilibrium Conditions

Individual optimization and evolution of distribution

$$\rho v(a, z, t) = \max_{c} u(c) + \partial_{a} v(a, z, t) [M(a, z; w(t), r(t)) + r(t)a - c] + \partial_{z} v(a, z, t) \mu(z) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{zz} v(a, z, t) \sigma^{2}(z) + \partial_{t} v(a, z, t)$$
(1)

$$\partial_t g(a,z,t) = -\partial_a [s(a,z,t)g(a,z,t)] - \partial_z [\mu(z)g(a,z,t)] + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{zz} [\sigma^2(z)g(a,z,t)], \qquad (2)$$

$$s(a, z, t) = M(a, z; w(t), r(t)) + r(t)a - c(a, z, t)$$
(3)

Public firms

$$r(t) = \partial_K F_c(A, K_c(t), L_c(t)) - \delta, \quad w(t) = \partial_L F_c(A, K_c(t), L_c(t))$$
(4)

Capital market clearing:

$$K_{c}(t) + \int k_{u}(a, z; w(t), r(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Pi_{u} > \max\{\Pi_{p}, wz^{\theta}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz$$
$$+ \int k_{p}(a, z; w(t), r(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Pi_{p} > \max\{\Pi_{u}, wz^{\theta}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz$$
$$= \int ag(a, z, t) dadz$$
(5)

Labor market clearing:

$$L_{c}(t) + \int \ell_{u}(a, z; w(t), r(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Pi_{u} > \max\{\Pi_{p}, wz^{\theta}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz$$

+ $\int \ell_{p}(a, z; w(t), r(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Pi_{p} > \max\{\Pi_{u}, wz^{\theta}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz$ (6)
= $\int z^{\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{wz^{\theta} > \max\{\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{p}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz$

Given initial condition $g_0(a, z)$, the two PDEs (1), (2) together with (3) and the equilibrium conditions (4), (5) and (6) fully characterize equilibrium.

3 Numerical Solution

The algorithm for solving the HJB equation (1) and the Kolmogorov Forward equation (2) are nearly identical to that used for solving the Huggett model with a diffusion process described in section 5 here http://www.princeton.edu/~moll/HACTproject/HACT_ Numerical_Appendix.pdf. The algorithm is then a simple bisection algorithm on the equilibrium interest rate.

3.1 Algorithm for Steady State

Use a bisection algorithm for $r_{\min} \leq r \leq r_{\max}$. Given an initial guesses r_0 for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, ...$ follow

- 1. given r_{ℓ} , find $\xi_{\ell} = K_c/L_c$ from (4)
- 2. given ξ_{ℓ} find w from (4)

- 3. given r_{ℓ} and w_{ℓ} , solve the HJB equation
- 4. find $K_{c,\ell}$ from (5)
- 5. Compute $L_{c,\ell} = K_{c,\ell}/\xi_{\ell}$ and compute "excess labor demand"

$$D_{\ell} = L_{c}(t) + \int \ell_{u}(a, z; w(t), r(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Pi_{u} > \max\{\Pi_{p}, wz^{\theta}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz + \int \ell_{p}(a, z; w(t), r(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Pi_{p} > \max\{\Pi_{u}, wz^{\theta}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz - \int z^{\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{wz^{\theta} > \max\{\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{p}\}\}} g(a, z, t) dadz$$

6. Update r_{ℓ} : if $D_{\ell} > 0$, choose $r_{\ell+1} < r_{\ell}$ and vice versa.

4 Results

Figure 1 plots the saving and consumption policy functions. The policy functions can be non-monotonic. Figure 2 plots the wealth distribution. The wealth distribution has a fat right tail as in Cagetti and De Nardi (2006).



Figure 1: Saving and Consumption Policy Functions

References

- Achdou, Yves, Jean-Michel Lasry, Pierre-Louis Lions, and Benjamin Moll. 2014. "Wealth Distribution and the Business Cycle: The Rold of Private Firms." Princeton University Working Papers.
- **Buera, Francisco J., and Yongseok Shin.** 2013. "Financial Frictions and the Persistence of History: A Quantitative Exploration." *Journal of Political Economy*, Forthcoming.



Figure 2: Wealth Distribution

Cagetti, Marco, and Mariacristina De Nardi. 2006. "Entrepreneurship, Frictions, and Wealth." *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(5): 835–870.